

Partial Hasse invariants, partial degrees and the canonical subgroup

Stéphane Bijakowski

August 30, 2015

Abstract

If the Hasse invariant of a p -divisible group is small enough, then one can construct a canonical subgroup inside its p -torsion. We remark that, assuming the existence of a subgroup of adequate height in the p -torsion whose dual has small degree, the expected properties of the canonical subgroup can be easily proven. A fundamental relation is the equality between the Hasse invariant and the degree of the dual of the canonical subgroup. When one considers a p -divisible group G with an action of the ring of integers of a (possibly ramified) finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , then much more can be said. One can define partial Hasse invariants ; they are natural in the unramified case, and generalize a construction of Reduzzi and Xiao in the general case. One can also define partial degrees for finite flat subgroups of G . We prove some properties for these partial Hasse invariants and partial degrees, and compute the partial degrees of the canonical subgroup.

Contents

1	Partial Hasse invariants	6
1.1	Definition of the invariants	6
1.2	Compatibility with the duality	13
1.3	Partial Hasse invariants in family	15
2	Partial degrees	16
2.1	Definitions	16
2.2	Properties	17
2.3	Partial degrees in family	19
3	The canonical subgroup	20
3.1	An alternative approach	20
3.2	The partial degrees of the canonical subgroup	22
3.3	Proof of the theorem	24

Introduction

Let p be a prime number. Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and O_K its ring of integers. If A is an abelian scheme over O_K , we say that A is ordinary at p if the p -divisible group $A[p^\infty]$ is an

extension of a multiplicative p -divisible group and an étale one. If it is the case, then there is only one subgroup of $A[p]$ of height the dimension of A which is multiplicative. It lifts the kernel of the Frobenius in the special fiber.

When A is close to being ordinary at p , then a similar result holds. The fact that A is ordinary at p is equivalent to the fact that the Hasse invariant of A is zero (the Hasse invariant is an element in $[0, 1]$). The theory of the canonical subgroup says that if the Hasse invariant of A is small enough, then one can construct a canonical subgroup inside $A[p]$, which is equal to the multiplicative part of $A[p]$ when A is ordinary. This construction has been done by Katz [Kat] and Lubin [Lu] for the elliptic curves, and by Abbes and Mokrane ([A-M]) for general abelian schemes.

The problem actually makes sense for a general p -divisible group (not necessarily attached to an abelian scheme) : one can define the Hasse invariant for a p -divisible group, and try to construct a canonical subgroup when the Hasse invariant is small enough. This has been done by Tian ([Ti]), using global methods and resolutions of p -divisible groups by abelian schemes. In [Fa2], a purely local construction has been made explicit. The canonical subgroup has been a very active research topic, let us mention the contributions of Andreatta-Gasbarri ([A-Ga]), Conrad ([Co]), Goren-Kassaei ([G-K]), Hattori ([Ha]) and Scholze ([Sch]).

Once the canonical subgroup has been constructed, it is important to have information on it related to the p -divisible group. Fargues has defined in [Fa] the degree of a finite flat group scheme over O_K . The main result of [Fa2] is then the construction of a canonical subgroup $C \subset G[p]$, where G is a p -divisible group whose Hasse invariant is strictly less than $1/2$. Moreover the height of C is the dimension of G and the degree of the dual of C is equal to the Hasse invariant.

We remark that the canonical subgroup is in fact characterized by these properties. Indeed, one has the following result.

Theorem. *Let K be a complete valuated extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , and let G be a p -divisible group over O_K . Let C be a finite flat subgroup of $G[p]$ of height the dimension of G . Suppose that $\deg C^D < 1/2$, where C^D is the dual of C . Then C is uniquely determined by these properties. One has the relation*

$$\deg C^D = ha(G)$$

where $ha(G)$ is the Hasse invariant of G . Moreover, C is the kernel of the Frobenius modulo $p^{1-ha(G)}$.

If we suppose the existence of a subgroup of the right height, and whose dual has small degree, then one can easily prove that this subgroup is canonical in some sense. The proof of the theorem is relatively simple, and relies on the properties of the degree function, together with the description of Tate-Oort ([T-O]) for finite flat group schemes of order p . Note that there is no assumption on p in this theorem, unlike the ones in [Fa2]. It is then very natural to define the canonical subgroup as being a subgroup with prescribed height, and whose dual has sufficiently small degree.

A key feature for the canonical subgroup is the relation between its degree and the Hasse invariant of the p -divisible group. When one considers a p -divisible group with an additional structure, then much more can be said. Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , O_F its ring of integers and suppose that G is a p -divisible group with an action of O_F . Then it is possible to define partial Hasse invariants for G , partial degrees for the subgroups of $G[p]$, and to relate all these elements for the canonical subgroup. We will describe these invariants and the relations in the case where F is either unramified or totally ramified, the general case being of combination of these two cases.

Let F be a finite unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and let K be a complete valuated extension of \mathbb{Q}_p containing F . Let \mathcal{T} be the set of embeddings of F into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, and let G be a p -divisible group over O_K with an action of O_F . We recall that the Hasse invariant of G is defined as the valuation of the determinant of the map

$$V : \omega_{\overline{G}} \rightarrow \omega_{\overline{G}^{(p)}}$$

where $\overline{G} := G \times_{O_K} O_K/p$, the subscript (p) means a twist by the Frobenius and V is the Verschiebung. Since G has an action of O_F , the O_K module ω_G admits a decomposition in direct sum according to the elements of \mathcal{T} :

$$\omega_G = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \omega_{G,\tau}$$

The map V induces maps

$$V_\tau : \omega_{\overline{G},\tau} \rightarrow \omega_{\overline{G}^{(p)},\sigma^{-1}\tau}$$

where $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ is the Frobenius. One can then define partial Hasse invariants $ha_\tau(G) \in [0, 1]$ as the valuation of the determinant of V_τ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$. The sum of the partial Hasse invariants is the Hasse invariant $ha(G)$.

If H is a O_F -stable finite flat subgroup of $G[p]$, then one can define partial degrees $(\deg_\tau H)_\tau$ for H , as well as for its dual H^D . The sum of the partial degrees is the total degree. We have the following information concerning the canonical subgroup in that case.

Theorem. *Let F be a finite unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and let K be a complete valuated extension of \mathbb{Q}_p containing F . Let G be a p -divisible group over O_K with an action of O_F . Suppose that there exists a canonical subgroup C for $G[p]$. Then one has*

$$\deg_\tau C^D = ha_\tau(G)$$

for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$. If moreover the Hasse invariant of G is strictly less than $1/(p+1)$ then

$$ha_\tau(G/C) = p \cdot ha_{\sigma^{-1}\tau}(G)$$

for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$.

Note that the computations of the partial Hasse invariants $ha_\tau(G/C)$ were already done in [G-K] for the Hilbert modular variety.

The definition of the partial Hasse invariants and the partial degrees is very natural when F is unramified. The situation is more involved in the ramified case. Suppose now that F is a totally ramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of degree $e \geq 2$ with uniformizer π . Let K be a complete valuated extension of \mathbb{Q}_p containing the Galois closure of F and let G be a p -divisible group over O_K with an action of O_F . The O_K -module ω_G do not split under the action of O_F , but one has a filtration

$$0 \subset \omega_G^{[1]} \subset \cdots \subset \omega_G^{[e]} = \omega_G$$

where $\omega_G^{[i]}/\omega_G^{[i-1]}$ is free over O_K and with O_F acting on it by a fixed embedding. This filtration is well defined once we have fixed an ordering on Σ , the set of embeddings of F into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. The construction of the partial Hasse invariants for the special fiber of the Hilbert modular variety has been done by Reduzzi and Xiao ([R-X]), and the generalization of their method is straightforward. Let us describe briefly this construction. The Verschiebung map respects the filtration on

$\omega_G \otimes_{O_K} O_K/\pi$; taking the valuation of the determinant of V acting on the graded pieces one gets elements $ha^{[1]}(G), \dots, ha^{[e]}(G)$ in $[0, 1/e]$ that we call the partial Hasse invariants. Moreover, one can decompose each of these invariants. The action of O_F gives an map $[\pi] : \omega_G \rightarrow \omega_G$. If we denote by $\omega_{G, \{1/e\}} := \omega_G \otimes_{O_K/\pi O_K}$, and similarly for $\omega_{G, \{1/e\}}^{[i]}$, then the maps $[\pi]$ sends $\omega_{G, \{1/e\}}^{[i]}$ into $\omega_{G, \{1/e\}}^{[i-1]}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq e$. One then gets a map

$$\omega_{G, \{1/e\}}^{[i]} / \omega_{G, \{1/e\}}^{[i-1]} \rightarrow \omega_{G, \{1/e\}}^{[i-1]} / \omega_{G, \{1/e\}}^{[i-2]}$$

for all $2 \leq i \leq e$. The valuation of the determinant of this map will be noted by $m^{[i]}(G)$. One gets also a map

$$\omega_{G, \{1/e\}}^{[1]} \rightarrow (\omega_{G, \{1/e\}} / \omega_{G, \{1/e\}}^{[e-1]})^{(p)}$$

where the subscript (p) means a twist by a Frobenius. This map can be thought as the composition of the division by $[\pi]^{e-1}$ and the Verschiebung map. The valuation of the determinant of this map will be noted $hasse(G)$.

One can then define primitive Hasse invariants $(hasse(G), m^{[2]}(G), \dots, m^{[e]}(G))$, and moreover the partial invariants $ha^{[i]}(G)$ can be expressed as linear combinations of these primitive Hasse invariants. Note that for the primitive Hasse invariants, only the element $hasse(G)$ is related to the Verschiebung. The elements $m^{[i]}(G)$ depend only on the structure of ω_G as an $O_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$ -module. The relations $m^{[i]}(G) = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq e$ are equivalent to the fact that ω_G is free over $O_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$ (this is the Rapoport condition). We prove a duality result for these partial and primitive Hasse invariants. We also show that they do not depend on the choice of any ordering for Σ if the total Hasse invariant is strictly less than $1/e$.

If H is an O_F -stable finite flat subgroup of $G[p]$, then one can define partial degrees $\deg^{[i]} H$. Indeed, one has a map

$$\omega_{G/H} \rightarrow \omega_G$$

and the valuation of the determinant of this map is the degree of H . This map respects the filtration on each of the two modules, so one gets maps

$$\omega_{G/H}^{[i]} / \omega_{G/H}^{[i-1]} \rightarrow \omega_G^{[i]} / \omega_G^{[i-1]}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq e$. The valuation of the determinant of this map is by the definition the partial degree of H . Considering the map $\omega_{G^D} \rightarrow \omega_{(G/H)^D}$, one defines similarly the partial degrees of the dual of H . We prove some properties for these partial degrees (additivity, compatibility with the duality), and we also prove that if the degree of H (or its dual) is sufficiently small, then the partial degrees do not depend on any choice for the set Σ .

In this setting, one can have the following properties for the canonical subgroup.

Theorem. *Let F be a totally ramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of degree $e \geq 2$ with uniformizer π , and let K be a complete valuated extension of \mathbb{Q}_p containing the Galois closure of F . Let G be a p -divisible group over O_K with an action of O_F . Suppose that there exists a canonical subgroup $C \subset G[p]$, and suppose that the Hasse invariant of G is strictly less than $1/e$. Then*

$$\deg(C[\pi^k]/C[\pi^{k-1}])^D = ha^{[e-k+1]}(G)$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq e$. Moreover, one has

$$\deg^{[1]} C[\pi]^D = hasse(G) \text{ and } \deg^{[i]} C[\pi]^D = m^{[i]}(G)$$

for all $2 \leq i \leq e$. If $ha(G) < 1/(pe)$ and if there is a canonical subgroup for G/C , then we have

$$ha^{[1]}(G/C[\pi]) = p \cdot ha^{[e]}(G) \text{ and } ha^{[i]}(G/C[\pi]) = ha^{[i-1]}(G)$$

for all $2 \leq i \leq e$.

One can then relate the degree of the groups $C[\pi^k]/C[\pi^{k-1}]$ to the partial Hasse invariants, and the partial degrees of $C[\pi]$ to the primitive Hasse invariants. One can also compute the partial Hasse invariants of $G/C[\pi]$. Actually, one can have more relations, and compute the partial degrees of $C[\pi^k]/C[\pi^{k-1}]$, and the partial and primitive Hasse invariants of $G/C[\pi^k]$ for all $1 \leq k \leq e$ (see the tables 3.1 and 3.2).

Let us now talk about the organization of the text. In the first part, we define the partial and primitive Hasse invariants for a p -divisible group with an action, and prove certain properties for these invariants. In the second part, we study the definitions and properties of the partial degrees for a finite flat subgroup of such a p -divisible group. In the third section, we first describe an alternative approach to the canonical subgroup, assuming the existence of a subgroup whose dual has small degree. Then we consider the canonical subgroup of a p -divisible group with an action, and relate its partial degrees to the primitive and partial Hasse invariants when the total Hasse invariant is small enough.

The author would like to thank Valentin Hernandez for helpful discussions.

Notations

Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let f and e be respectively the residual degree and the ramification index, and let O_F denote the ring of integer of F . We will write F^{ur} for the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p contained in F and $O_{F^{ur}}$ for its ring of integer; it is an extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of degree f . Let π be a uniformizer of F .

Let \mathcal{T} and Σ be respectively the set of embeddings of F^{ur} and F into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. For each $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$, we denote by Σ_τ the set of $\sigma \in \Sigma$, such that σ is equal to τ by restriction to F^{ur} . We will write $\mathcal{T} = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_f\}$, such that $\tau_{i+1} = \sigma \tau_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq f-1$, where σ is the Frobenius. We thus have an identification between \mathcal{T} and $\{1, \dots, f\}$.

Let K be a complete valuated field which is an extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . We suppose that K contains the Galois closure of F . We normalize the valuation of K such that $v(p) = 1$. Let O_K be the valuation ring of K , and k the residue field. If M is an O_K -module with an action of $O_{F^{ur}}$, then there is a decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^f M_i$$

where M_i consists of the elements of M where $O_{F^{ur}}$ acts by τ_i .

For all $\alpha > 0$ we will note $\mathfrak{m}_\alpha := \{x \in O_K, v(x) \geq \alpha\}$. If M is a O_K -module, we note $M_{\{\alpha\}} := M \otimes_{O_K} O_K/\mathfrak{m}_\alpha$. If M is a free $O_{K,\{\alpha\}}$ -module of finite rank, with $\alpha \leq 1$, we note $M^{(p)} := M \otimes_{O_{K,\{\alpha\}}, \varphi} O_{K,\{\alpha\}}$, where φ is the Frobenius acting on $O_{K,\{\alpha\}}$. This is still a free $O_{K,\{\alpha\}}$ module of the same rank.

In this paper, we will consider a p -divisible group G defined over O_K endowed with an action of O_F . In other words, we suppose given a morphism

$$O_F \rightarrow \text{End}(G)$$

The height of G is thus divisible by ef , we will denote by h this height divided by ef . Let ω_G be the conormal sheaf of G along its unit section; it is a free O_K -module of rank the dimension of G which has an action of O_F . We will make the following hypothesis throughout this article.

Hypothesis 0.1. The K -vector space $\omega_G \otimes_{O_K} K$ is a free $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$ -module.

This condition says that there is no obstruction for G to be ordinary. In general, there exists a decomposition $\omega_G \otimes_{O_K} K = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} V_\sigma$, with O_F acting on V_σ by σ . The hypothesis is then equivalent to the fact that the dimension of V_σ is independent of σ . Let d be the dimension of any V_σ ; the dimension of G is then efd . If ω_G is a free $O_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$ -module, then we say that G satisfies the Rapoport condition.

The module ω_G has an action of $O_{F^{ur}}$, thus has a decomposition

$$\omega_G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^f \omega_{G,i}$$

where $\omega_{G,i}$ is a free O_K -module of rank ed , with $O_{F^{ur}}$ acting on it by τ_i . To simplify the notations, we will just note ω and ω_i for ω_G and $\omega_{G,i}$ when there is no possible confusion.

1 Partial Hasse invariants

1.1 Definition of the invariants

Let \overline{G} denote the reduction of G to O_K/p , and let $\overline{G}^{(p)}$ be the twist of G by the Frobenius. We have the Verschiebung map

$$V : \omega_{\overline{G}} \rightarrow \omega_{\overline{G}^{(p)}}$$

But we have $\omega_{\overline{G}} = \omega_{\{1\}}$ and $\omega_{\overline{G}^{(p)}} = (\omega_{\{1\}})^{(p)}$. We thus get a map

$$V : \omega_{\{1\}} \rightarrow (\omega_{\{1\}})^{(p)}$$

The module $\omega_{\{1\}}$ is free of rank efd over $O_{K,\{1\}}$. By fixing bases and taking the determinant of the previous map, one gets an element that we will denote by $Ha(G)$. It is an element of $O_{K,\{1\}}$. Its truncated valuation is the Hasse invariant and will be denoted by $ha(G) \in [0, 1]$. Since G has an action of O_F , one can refine this invariant, and define partial Hasse invariants. They are natural in the unramified case.

Recall that we have a decomposition $\omega = \bigoplus_{i=1}^f \omega_i$. The Verschiebung map induce maps

$$V_i : \omega_{i,\{1\}} \rightarrow (\omega_{i-1,\{1\}})^{(p)}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ (we identify ω_0 and ω_f). Each module $\omega_{i,\{1\}}$ is free of rank ed over $O_{K,\{1\}}$.

Definition 1.1. The element $Ha_i(G)$ is defined as the determinant of V_i . It is an element of $O_{K,\{1\}}$. Its truncated valuation will be denoted by $ha_i(G) \in [0, 1]$.

We call the elements $(ha_i(G))_i$ the *unramified partial Hasse invariants*. If F is unramified over \mathbb{Q}_p , we have thus constructed all the partial Hasse invariants claimed in the introduction. The situation is more involved in the ramified case. Their definition is a straightforward generalization of a construction from Reduzzi and Xiao for the special fiber of the Hilbert modular variety (see [R-X]).

Let us fix an element $1 \leq i \leq f$ and consider the free O_K -module ω_i . It has an action of O_F , and $O_{F^{ur}}$ acts on it by τ_i . Let us write Σ_i for Σ_{τ_i} ; we recall that it consists of the elements of Σ which are equal to τ_i by restriction to F^{ur} . Let us fix an ordering on this set : $\Sigma_i = \{\sigma_{i,1}, \dots, \sigma_{i,e}\}$. The K -vector space $\omega_i \otimes_{O_K} K$ has a natural decomposition

$$\omega_i \otimes_{O_K} K = \bigoplus_{j=1}^e N_{i,j}$$

where $N_{i,j}$ consists of the elements of $\omega_i \otimes_{O_K} K$ with O_F acting on them by $\sigma_{i,j}$. This gives a filtration on $\omega_i \otimes_{O_K} K$, by considering the subspaces $F_{i,j} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^j N_{i,k}$. We can pull back this filtration to ω_i , and get a filtration

$$0 = \omega_i^{[0]} \subset \omega_i^{[1]} \subset \dots \subset \omega_i^{[e]} = \omega_i$$

Each $\omega_i^{[j]}$ is a free O_K -module of rank dj , and we have $\omega_i^{[j]} \otimes_{O_K} K = F_{i,j}$. By consequence, each of the graded pieces $\omega_i^{[j]}/\omega_i^{[j-1]}$ is a free O_K -module of rank d , is isomorphic over K to $N_{i,j}$ and O_F acts by $\sigma_{i,j}$ on it for all $1 \leq j \leq e$.

The uniformizer π of F acts on ω_i ; we will denote $[\pi] : \omega_i \rightarrow \omega_i$ the map induced by its action. This map acts on $\omega_i^{[j]}/\omega_i^{[j-1]}$ as the scalar $\sigma_{i,j}(\pi)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq e$. This element has valuation $1/e$; thus if we reduce modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{1/e}$, the map $[\pi]$ will be trivial on the graded pieces. More precisely, for all $1 \leq j \leq e$, we have a map

$$[\pi] : \omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]} \rightarrow \omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j-1]}$$

Definition 1.2. For all $1 \leq i \leq f$, and for all $2 \leq j \leq e$, we note $M_i^{[j]}$ the map

$$[\pi] : \omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]}/\omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j-1]} \rightarrow \omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j-1]}/\omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j-2]}$$

We note $M_i^{[j]}(G)$ the determinant of this map; it is an element of $O_{K,\{1/e\}}$. We also note $m_i^{[j]}(G) := v(M_i^{[j]}(G)) \in [0, 1/e]$.

Note that all the graded parts $\omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]}/\omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j-1]}$ are free of rank d over $O_{K,\{1/e\}}$. The element $M_i^{[j]}(G)$ depends on the choice of the uniformizer π , but its valuation $m_i^{[j]}(G)$ does not. These elements also depend on the choice of an ordering for the set Σ_i . To study this dependence, we first make a definition.

Definition 1.3. A filtration $0 = F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[0]} \subset F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[1]} \subset \dots \subset F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[e]} = \omega_{i,\{1/e\}}$ is called *adequate* if the following conditions are satisfied.

- Each $F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]}/F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j-1]}$ is a free $O_{K,\{1/e\}}$ -module of rank d , for $1 \leq j \leq e$.
- The map $[\pi]$ sends $F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]}$ into $F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j-1]}$ for $1 \leq j \leq e$.

The filtration $(\omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]})$ we constructed is thus adequate. Each adequate filtration gives maps

$$[\pi] : F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]} / F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j-1]} \rightarrow F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j-1]} / F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j-2]}$$

for $2 \leq j \leq e$ and thus elements $(m_i^{[j]})$, with $m_i^{[j]} \in [0, 1/e]$. If these elements are small enough, then they do not depend on the adequate filtration. Indeed, we have the following propositions.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $(F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]})$ be an adequate filtration of $\omega_{i,\{1/e\}}$, with invariants $m_i^{[j]}$. Let $r_i = \sum_{k=2}^e (k-1)m_i^{[k]}$ and suppose $r_i < 1/e$. If $(F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]})'$ is another adequate filtration, then we have*

$$F_{i,\{1/e-r_i\}}^{[j]} = F_{i,\{1/e-r_i\}}'^{[j]}$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq e$.

Proof. We fix a basis of $\omega_{i,\{1/e\}}$ adapted to the filtration $(F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]})$. The map $[\pi]$ acts on $\omega_{i,\{1/e\}}$ by a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_i^{[2]} & \dots & * & * \\ & 0 & \ddots & * & * \\ & & \ddots & M_i^{[e-1]} & N_{e-1,e} \\ & & & 0 & M_i^{[e]} \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The elements $x \in F_{i,\{1/e\}}'^{[1]}$ verify $[\pi]x = 0$. Let us write the coordinates of x in the previous basis by

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_e \end{pmatrix}$$

The relation $[\pi]x = 0$ gives $M_i^{[e]}X_e = 0$. Since the determinant of $M_i^{[e]}$ has valuation $m_i^{[e]}$, the vector X_e has coefficients in $\mathfrak{m}_{1/e-m_i^{[e]}}$. We thus have $X_e = 0$ in $\omega_{i,\{1/e-m_i^{[e]}\}}$. We also have the relation $M_i^{[e-1]}X_{e-1} + N_{e-1,e}X_e = 0$. In $\omega_{i,\{1/e-m_i^{[e]}\}}$, we then have $M_i^{[e-1]}X_{e-1} = 0$. Thus $X_{e-1} = 0$ in $\omega_{i,\{1/e-m_i^{[e]}-m_i^{[e-1]}\}}$. Let us note $r_i^{[j]} = \sum_{k=j}^e m_i^{[k]}$ for all $2 \leq j \leq e$. Finally, we see that $x \in F_{i,\{1/e-r_i^{[2]}\}}'^{[1]}$, so that

$$F_{i,\{1/e-r_i^{[2]}\}}'^{[1]} = F_{i,\{1/e-r_i^{[2]}\}}^{[1]}$$

We can then work by induction, considering $\omega_{i,\{1/e-r_i^{[2]}\}} / F_{i,\{1/e-r_i^{[2]}\}}^{[1]}$. We then get

$$F_{\{1/e-r_i^{[2]}-\dots-r_i^{[j+1]}\}}'^{[j]} = F_{\{1/e-r_i^{[2]}-\dots-r_i^{[j+1]}\}}^{[j]}$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq e-1$. Hence the result since $r_i^{[2]} + \dots + r_i^{[e]} = r_i$. \square

We will note $r_i(G) = \sum_{k=2}^e (k-1)m_i^{[k]}(G)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$.

Corollary 1.5. *Let i be an integer between 1 and f , and suppose that we have*

$$m_i^{[j]}(G) + r_i(G) < 1/e$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq e$. Then the elements $(m_i^{[j]}(G))_{1 \leq j \leq e}$ can be computed using any adequate filtration on $\omega_{i, \{1/e\}}$. In particular, they do not depend on an ordering for the set Σ_i .

Proof. Let $(F_{i, \{1/e\}}^{[j]})$ be an adequate filtration ; from the previous proposition we get

$$F_{i, \{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j]} = \omega_{i, \{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j]}$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq e$. The map $[\pi] : \omega_{i, \{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j]} / \omega_{i, \{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j-1]} \rightarrow \omega_{i, \{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j-1]} / \omega_{i, \{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j-2]}$ has a determinant of valuation $m_i^{[j]}(G)$ for all $2 \leq j \leq e$. The determinant of

$$[\pi] : F_{i, \{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j]} / F_{i, \{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j-1]} \rightarrow F_{i, \{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j-1]} / F_{i, \{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j-2]}$$

has thus also a determinant of valuation $m_i^{[j]}(G)$ for all $2 \leq j \leq e$. Since $m_i^{[j]}(G) < 1/e - r_i(G)$, the invariant $m_i^{[j]}$ associated to the adequate filtration is equal to $m_i^{[j]}(G)$. \square

These invariants $(m_i^{[j]}(G))$ depend only on the structure of ω as an $O_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$ -module. We have the following characterization of the Rapoport condition.

Proposition 1.6. *The p -divisible group G satisfies the Rapoport condition if and only if $m_i^{[j]}(G) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $2 \leq j \leq e$.*

Proof. The Rapoport condition is equivalent to the fact that each ω_i is free over $O_K \otimes_{O_{F^{ur}, \tau_i}} O_F$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. Suppose that G satisfies the Rapoport condition. Then we have

$$\omega_i \simeq (O_K \otimes_{O_{F^{ur}, \tau_i}} O_F)^d$$

as $O_K \otimes_{O_{F^{ur}, \tau_i}} O_F$ -module. One easily reduces to the case $d = 1$. Since we want to prove that the invariants $m_i^{[j]}(G)$ are units, one can make the computation in the special fiber. But we have

$$\omega_i \otimes_{O_K} k \simeq k[X]/X^e$$

as $k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$ -module, with π acting on $k[X]/X^e$ by X . We get that $\omega_i^{[j]} \otimes_{O_K} k$ is generated as a k -vector space by X^{e-j}, \dots, X^{e-1} . The result follows.

Suppose now that we have $m_i^{[j]}(G) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $2 \leq j \leq e$. The map $[\pi]$ acting on ω_i is then of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{i,1}(\pi)I & M_i^{[2]} & \dots & * \\ & \sigma_{i,2}(\pi)I & \ddots & * \\ & & \ddots & M_i^{[e]} \\ & & & \sigma_{i,e}(\pi)I \end{pmatrix}$$

All the blocks are of size d , I is the identity matrix, and by assumption the matrixes $M_i^{[j]}$ are invertible. Let v_1, \dots, v_d be a basis of the last block. Consider the family $(v_k, [\pi]v_k, \dots, [\pi]^{e-1}v_k)$,

and let N be the change-coordinate matrix for this family. The image of this matrix in the residue field k is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & \dots & M_i^{[2]} \dots M_i^{[e]} \\ 0 & * & * & \ddots & \\ 0 & * & M_i^{[e-1]} M_i^{[e]} & & \\ 0 & M_i^{[e]} & & & \\ I & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix is invertible, so the previous family is a basis for ω_i . This concludes the fact that this module is free over $O_K \otimes_{O_{F^{ur}, \tau_i}} O_F$. \square

Remark 1.7. If G satisfies the Rapoport condition, there is only one adequate filtration on $\omega_{G,i,\{1/e\}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$.

We will now define another invariant related to the Verschiebung.

Proposition 1.8. *There exists a map $Hasse_i : \omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[1]} \rightarrow (\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}/\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}^{[e-1]})^{(p)}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\{1\}}$ be the contravariant Dieudonné crystal of \overline{G} evaluated at $O_{K,\{1\}}$ ([BBM] section 3.3). It is a free $O_{K,\{1\}}$ -module of rank efh . It is endowed with an action of O_F and we claim it is free of rank h over $O_{K,\{1\}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$. Let us justify briefly this assertion. It suffices to prove that $\mathcal{E}_{\{1\}} \otimes_{O_K} k$ is a free $k \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} O_F/p$ -module. But this module lifts to a $W(k)$ -module $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, where $W(k)$ is the ring of Witt vectors of k ($\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is the classical Dieudonné module of $G \times_{O_K} k$). Since the module $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is automatically free over $W(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$, this proves the claim. We have a decomposition

$$\mathcal{E}_{\{1\}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^f \mathcal{E}_{i,\{1\}}$$

with $O_{F^{ur}}$ acting on $\mathcal{E}_{i,\{1\}}$ by τ_i . Let us denote by $[\pi]$ the action of $1 \otimes \pi$ on $\mathcal{E}_{\{1\}}$. Each $\mathcal{E}_{i,\{1\}}$ is a free $O_{K,\{1\}}[X]/X^e$ -module of rank h , with X acting on it by $[\pi]$. Moreover, the Hodge filtration ([BBM] corollary 3.3.5) gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{i,\{1\}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{i,\{1\}} \rightarrow \omega_{G^D,i,\{1\}}^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

where G^D is the Cartier dual of G . We have a Verschiebung map

$$V : \mathcal{E}_{\{1\}} \rightarrow \omega_{\{1\}}^{(p)}$$

It induces maps

$$V : \mathcal{E}_{i,\{1\}} \rightarrow (\omega_{i-1,\{1\}})^{(p)}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. We can now define the map of the proposition. Let $y \in \omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[1]}$; we then have $[\pi]y = 0$. We see y as an element of $\mathcal{E}_{i,\{1/e\}}$, which is a free $O_{K,\{1/e\}}[X]/X^e$ -module, with X acting by $[\pi]$. Thus there exists $z \in \mathcal{E}_i$ such that $y = X^{e-1}z$; this element is defined modulo an element of $X\mathcal{E}_i$. Applying V , we get an element $Vz \in (\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}})^{(p)}$. Since X sends $(\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}})^{(p)}$ into $(\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}^{[e-1]})^{(p)}$, the element

$$Hasse_i(y) := Vz \in (\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}/\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}^{[e-1]})^{(p)}$$

is well defined. \square

The map $Hasse_i$ can then be thought as the composition of the division by $[\pi]^{e-1}$ and the Verschiebung map. Taking the determinant of this map, one gets an element $Hasse_i(G) \in O_{K,\{1/e\}}$. The valuation of this element will be noted $hasse_i(G) \in [0, 1/e]$. Actually, each choice of an adequate filtration $(F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]})$ for $\omega_{i,\{1/e\}}$ gives a map

$$H_i : F_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[1]} \rightarrow (\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}/F_{i-1,\{1/e\}}^{[e-1]})^{(p)}$$

and thus an element $hasse_i \in [0, 1/e]$. Fortunately, this element does not depend on the adequate filtration under certain hypotheses.

Proposition 1.9. *Suppose that $hasse_i(G) + \max(r_i(G), r_{i-1}(G)) < 1/e$. Then the element $hasse_i(G)$ can be computed using any adequate filtration on $\omega_{i,\{1/e\}}$ and $\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}$.*

Proof. Let $r = \max(r_i(G), r_{i-1}(G))$, and $(F_{k,\{1/e\}}^{[j]})$ be adequate filtrations of $\omega_{k,\{1/e\}}$, for $k \in \{i-1, i\}$. From the proposition 1.4, we get

$$F_{k,\{1/e-r\}}^{[j]} = \omega_{k,\{1/e-r\}}^{[j]}$$

for all $k \in \{i-1, i\}$ and $2 \leq j \leq e$. The map

$$H_i : F_{i,\{1/e-r\}}^{[1]} \rightarrow (\omega_{i-1,\{1/e-r\}}/F_{i-1,\{1/e-r\}}^{[e-1]})^{(p)}$$

has thus a determinant of valuation $hasse_i(G)$. Since this element is strictly less than $1/e - r$, we can conclude. \square

In the ramified case, one can then construct the invariants $m_i^{[j]}(G)$ for $1 \leq i \leq f$, $2 \leq j \leq e$, which depend on the action of O_F on ω_G , and another invariant $hasse_i(G)$ related to the Verschiebung for $1 \leq i \leq f$. One can relate the unramified partial Hasse invariants to these ones.

Proposition 1.10. *The Verschiebung induce maps*

$$V_i^{[j]} : \omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]} / \omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j-1]} \rightarrow (\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}^{[j]} / \omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}^{[j-1]})^{(p)}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$. This map is equal to the composition

$$(M_{i-1}^{[j+1]})^{(p)} \circ \dots \circ (M_{i-1}^{[e]})^{(p)} \circ Hasse_i \circ M_i^{[2]} \circ \dots \circ M_i^{[j]}$$

Let $Ha_i^{[j]}(G) \in O_{K,\{1/e\}}$ be the determinant of this map, and $ha_i^{[j]}(G) \in [0, 1/e]$ its valuation. We have the following equalities in $[0, 1/e]$

$$ha_i^{[j]}(G) = hasse_i(G) + \sum_{k=2}^j m_i^{[k]}(G) + p \sum_{k=j+1}^e m_{i-1}^{[k]}(G)$$

$$ha_i(G) = e \cdot hasse_i(G) + \sum_{k=2}^e (e+1-k) m_i^{[k]}(G) + p \sum_{k=2}^e (k-1) m_{i-1}^{[k]}(G)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$ (we say that the equality $a = b$ holds in $[0, r]$ if $\min(a, r) = \min(b, r)$).

Proof. We first prove that the Verschiebung sends $\omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]}$ into $(\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}^{[j]})^{(p)}$. We keep the notations from the previous proposition. Let $y \in \omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]}$. We see y as an element of $\mathcal{E}_{i,\{1/e\}}$; we have $X^j y = 0$ so there exists $z \in \mathcal{E}_i$ such that $y = X^{e-j} z$. Therefore, we have $Vy = X^{e-j} Vz$. But $Vz \in (\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}^{[k]})^{(p)}$, and X maps $(\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}^{[k]})^{(p)}$ into $(\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}^{[k-1]})^{(p)}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq e$. Thus

$$Vy \in (\omega_{i-1,\{1/e\}}^{[j]})^{(p)}$$

Since the Verschiebung respects the filtration on $\omega_{i,\{1/e\}}$, it induces maps on the graded pieces as claimed.

Let us note $V_i^{[j]'} = (M_{i-1}^{[j+1]})^{(p)} \circ \dots \circ (M_{i-1}^{[e]})^{(p)} \circ \text{Hasse}_i \circ M_i^{[2]} \circ \dots \circ M_i^{[j]}$. We will prove that $V_i^{[j]'} = V_i^{[j]}$. Let $y \in \omega_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]}$; then $y_1 := (M_i^{[2]} \circ \dots \circ M_i^{[j]})(y)$ is equal to $[\pi]^{j-1} y$. Since $[\pi]^j y = 0$, there exists $z \in \mathcal{E}_{i,\{1/e\}}$ such that $y = X^{e-j} z$. Thus $y_1 = X^{e-1} z$, and $\text{Hasse}_i(y_1) = Vz$. Finally, we get

$$V_i^{[j]'}(y) = [\pi]^{e-j} \text{Hasse}_i(y_1) = [\pi]^{e-j} Vz = V(X^{e-j} z) = Vy$$

The rest of the equalities are obtained by taking the valuation of the determinant of the previous relation. \square

We will also note for $1 \leq j \leq e$

$$ha^{[j]}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^f ha_i^{[j]}(G)$$

From the previous proposition, we have

$$ha^{[j]}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^f \left(\text{hasse}_i(G) + \sum_{k=2}^j m_i^{[k]}(G) + p \sum_{k=j+1}^e m_i^{[k]}(G) \right)$$

The elements $(ha_i^{[j]}(G))_{i,j}$ will be called the *partial Hasse invariants*. We will call the elements $(ha^{[j]}(G))_j$ the *ramified partial Hasse invariants*. Finally, the elements $(\text{hasse}_i(G), m_i^{[j]}(G))_{i,j}$ will be called the *primitive Hasse invariants*.

Remark 1.11. We have the following inequalities

$$ha^{[e]} \leq ha^{[e-1]} \leq \dots \leq ha^{[1]} \leq p \cdot ha^{[e]}$$

If the Hasse invariant is small enough, then so are the invariants $m_i^{[j]}(G)$ and $\text{hasse}_i(G)$. In particular, they do not depend on the choice of an ordering for the sets Σ_i and can be computed using any adequate filtrations.

Proposition 1.12. *Suppose that $ha(G) < 1/e$. Then the elements $m_i^{[j]}(G)$ and $\text{hasse}_i(G)$ can be computed using any adequate filtrations on the modules $\omega_{i,\{1/e\}}$.*

Proof. From the assumption $ha(G) < 1/e$, we easily get

$$\text{hasse}_{i'}(G) + p \cdot r_i(G) < 1/e$$

for any elements i, i' between 1 and f . We get $1/e > p \cdot r_i(G) \geq 2r_i(G) \geq r_i(G) + m_i^{[j]}(G)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $2 \leq j \leq e$, so that the hypothesis of the corollary 1.5 is satisfied. We also get $1/e > \text{hasse}_i(G) + \max(r_i(G), r_{i-1}(G))$ and the hypothesis of the proposition 1.9 is satisfied for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. \square

1.2 Compatibility with the duality

The Hasse invariants we defined satisfy a compatibility with the duality. We note G^D the Cartier dual of G . It is a p -divisible group over O_K with an action of O_F . It has height efh and dimension $ef(h-d)$. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 1.13. *There exists a free $O_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$ -module \mathcal{E} of rank h with an exact sequence of $O_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$ -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_G \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \omega_{G^D}^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

Proof. For all integer $n \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{E}_{\{n\}}$ be the contravariant Dieudonné crystal of $G \times_{O_K} O_{K,\{n\}}$ evaluated at $O_{K,\{n\}}$ ([BBM] section 3.3). It is a free $O_{K,\{n\}}$ -module of rank efh with an action of O_F . As we have seen in the proof of the proposition 1.8, it is free as an $O_{K,\{n\}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$ -module. Define

$$\mathcal{E} := \varprojlim_n \mathcal{E}_{\{n\}}$$

It is a free $O_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$ -module of rank h . The Hodge filtration ([BBM] corollary 3.3.5) gives exact sequences for all integer $n \geq 1$

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{G,\{n\}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\{n\}} \rightarrow \omega_{G^D,\{n\}}^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

This concludes the proof. \square

We now state the duality property verified by the Hasse invariants.

Proposition 1.14. *We have the equalities $ha(G) = ha(G^D)$ and $ha_i(G) = ha_i(G^D)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. We also have $m_i^{[j]}(G) = m_i^{[j]}(G^D)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $2 \leq j \leq e$. Suppose moreover that $ha(G) < 1/e$. Then $hasse_i(G) = hasse_i(G^D)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$.*

Proof. The relation $ha(G) = ha(G^D)$ is proven in [Fa2] proposition 2. The same proof (decomposing each module according to the elements of \mathcal{T}) gives the equalities $ha_i(G) = ha_i(G^D)$ for $1 \leq i \leq f$. We will now prove that $m_i^{[j]}(G^D) = m_i^{[j]}(G)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $2 \leq j \leq e$. This will allow us to conclude thanks to the relation in $[0, 1/e]$

$$ha_i(G) = e \cdot hasse_i(G) + \sum_{k=2}^e (e+1-k) m_i^{[k]}(G) + p \sum_{k=2}^e (k-1) m_{i-1}^{[k]}(G)$$

Let us fix an integer i between 1 and f . The free $O_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$ -module \mathcal{E} decomposes in

$$\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^f \mathcal{E}_i$$

where \mathcal{E}_i is a free $O_K \otimes_{O_{F^{ur}, \tau_i}} O_F$ -module. Note the equality

$$O_K \otimes_{O_{F^{ur}, \tau_i}} O_F = O_K[X] / \prod_{k=1}^e (X - \sigma_{i,k}(\pi))$$

We will denote by $[\pi]$ the action of π in \mathcal{E}_i . Recall the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{G,i} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \omega_{G^D,i}^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

To ease the notations, let us write $\pi_k := \sigma_{i,k}(\pi)$ for $1 \leq k \leq e$. Let us define

$$\mathcal{F}_i^{[j]} := \left\{ y \in \mathcal{E}_i, \left(\prod_{k=j+1}^e ([\pi] - \pi_k) \right) \cdot y \in \omega_{G,i}^{[j]} \right\}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq e$. The module $\mathcal{F}_i^{[j]}$ is free of rank $he - j(h - d)$ over O_K . Furthermore, since $([\pi] - \pi_k)\omega_i^{[k]} \subset \omega_i^{[k-1]}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq e$, we have inclusions

$$0 \subset \omega_{G,i}^{[1]} \subset \dots \subset \omega_{G,i}^{[e]} = \mathcal{F}_i^{[e]} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_i^{[0]} = \mathcal{E}_i$$

Moreover, the map $[\pi]$ acts by π_j on $\mathcal{F}_i^{[j-1]}/\mathcal{F}_i^{[j]}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq e$. In other terms, we have proven that

$$\mathcal{F}_i^{[j]}/\omega_{G,i} = (\omega_{G^D,i}/\omega_{G^D,i}^{[j]})^\vee$$

We have thus related the filtration on $\omega_{G^D,i}$ to the one on $\omega_{G,i}$. We want to compute the element $m_i^{[2]}(G^D)$. For this, one can work with $\mathcal{E}_{i,\{1/e\}}$, which is a free $O_{K,\{1/e\}}[X]/X^e$ -module, with X acting by $[\pi]$. Note that since $\omega_{G,i}^{[j]}$ is contained in the set of elements killed by $\prod_{k=1}^j ([\pi] - \pi_k)$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]} = \left\{ y \in \mathcal{E}_{i,\{1/e\}}, X^{e-j}y \in \omega_{G,i,\{1/e\}}^{[j]} \right\}$$

The action of $[\pi]$ on $\omega_{G,i,\{1/e\}}^{[2]}$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_i^{[2]} \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with the valuation of the determinant of $M_i^{[2]}$ equal to $m_i^{[2]}(G)$. From the elementary divisors theorem for valuation rings, one can moreover suppose that $M_i^{[2]}$ is diagonal. Let us write y_1, \dots, y_d the diagonal coefficients; we order them so that y_1, \dots, y_r are not units, and y_{r+1}, \dots, y_d are. We can thus find a basis (e_1, \dots, e_{2d}) of $\omega_{G,i,\{1/e\}}^{[2]}$ such that $\omega_{G,i,\{1/e\}}^{[1]}$ is generated by (e_1, \dots, e_d) , and $[\pi]e_{d+k} = y_k e_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq d$. One may then find a basis $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_h)$ of $\mathcal{E}_{i,\{1/e\}}$ over $O_{K,\{1/e\}}[X]/X^e$ such that

- $e_k = X^{e-1}\varepsilon_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq d$.
- $e_{d+k} = X^{e-1}\varepsilon_{d+k} + X^{e-2}y_k \varepsilon_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq r$.
- $e_{d+k} = X^{e-2}y_k \varepsilon_k$ for $r+1 \leq k \leq d$.

Note that one has necessarily $d+r \leq h$. We then see that $\mathcal{F}_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[1]}$ is generated by $X\mathcal{E}_{i,\{1/e\}}$ and

$(\varepsilon_k)_{1 \leq k \leq d}$. The module $\mathcal{F}_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[2]}$ is generated by $X^2\mathcal{E}_{i,\{1/e\}}$ and $(X\varepsilon_k)_{1 \leq k \leq d}$, $(X\varepsilon_{d+k} + y_k \varepsilon_k)_{1 \leq k \leq r}$,

$(y_k \varepsilon_k)_{r+1 \leq k \leq d}$. We then may take $(\varepsilon_{d+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_h)$ for a basis of $\mathcal{E}_{i,\{1/e\}}/\mathcal{F}_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[1]}$, and $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r, X\varepsilon_{d+r+1}, \dots, X\varepsilon_h)$

for a basis of $\mathcal{F}_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[1]}/\mathcal{F}_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[2]}$. With these bases, the matrix of $[\pi] : \mathcal{E}_{i,\{1/e\}}/\mathcal{F}_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[1]} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[1]}/\mathcal{F}_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[2]}$ is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} -y_1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & -y_r & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Indeed, we have the relation $X\varepsilon_{d+k} + y_k\varepsilon_k = 0$ in $\mathcal{F}_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[1]}/\mathcal{F}_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[2]}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq r$. In particular, the determinant of this matrix has valuation $m_i^{[2]}(G)$. This proves that $m_i^{[2]}(G) = m_i^{[2]}(G^D)$.

Considering $\mathcal{F}_{i,\{1/e\}}^{[1]}/\omega_{G,i,\{1/e\}}^{[1]}$, which is a free $O_{K,\{1/e\}}[X]/X^{e-1}$ -module of rank h , one can prove by induction that the action of $[\pi]$ on $\omega_{G^D,i,\{1/e\}}^\vee$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_i^{[e]'} & \dots & * \\ & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & M_i^{[2]'} \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with the property that the determinant of $M_i^{[j]}'$ has valuation $m_i^{[j]}(G)$ for $2 \leq j \leq e$. This concludes the proof. \square

1.3 Partial Hasse invariants in family

Let S be a O_K -scheme. In this section only, we will consider a p -divisible group $G \rightarrow S$ of height efh with an action of O_F . Let $\omega_{G/S}$ be the conormal sheaf of G along its unit section ; it is a locally free sheaf over S . It also has an action of O_F , and thus decomposes into $\omega_{G/S} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^f \omega_{G/S,i}$. We also make the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis 1.15. For each integer $1 \leq i \leq f$, there exists a filtration

$$0 = \omega_{G/S,i}^{[0]} \subset \omega_{G/S,i}^{[1]} \subset \dots \subset \omega_{G/S,i}^{[e]} = \omega_{G/S,i}$$

such that for all $1 \leq j \leq e$ $\omega_{G/S,i}^{[j]}/\omega_{G/S,i}^{[j-1]}$ is a locally free sheaf of rank d , and O_F acts by $\sigma_{i,j}$ on it.

This hypothesis is for example satisfied when one considers certain moduli spaces of abelian varieties satisfying the Pappas-Rapoport condition ([P-R]). It implies that the dimension of G over S is equal to def . Each $\omega_{G/S,i}$ is then a locally free sheaf of rank ed . We will also define

$$\mathcal{L}_{S,i}^{[j]} := \det(\omega_{G/S,i}^{[j]}/\omega_{G/S,i}^{[j-1]})$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$. It is an invertible sheaf over S . We will define the partial Hasse invariants as sections of certain products of these invertible sheaves. For this, we need to work over $O_{K,\{1/e\}}$. Let $S_{\{1/e\}} := S \times_{O_K} O_{K,\{1/e\}}$

Proposition 1.16. *The Verschiebung map induces sections*

$$Ha_i^{[j]} \in H^0(S_{\{1/e\}}, (\mathcal{L}_{S_{\{1/e\}},i-1}^{[j]})^{\otimes p} \otimes (\mathcal{L}_{S_{\{1/e\}},i}^{[j]})^{-1})$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$. The primitive Hasse invariants are sections

$$Hasse_i \in H^0(S_{\{1/e\}}, (\mathcal{L}_{S_{\{1/e\}},i-1}^{[e]})^{\otimes p} \otimes (\mathcal{L}_{S_{\{1/e\}},i}^{[1]})^{-1})$$

and

$$M_i^{[j]} \in H^0(S_{\{1/e\}}, \mathcal{L}_{S_{\{1/e\}},i}^{[j-1]} \otimes (\mathcal{L}_{S_{\{1/e\}},i}^{[j]})^{-1})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $2 \leq j \leq e$. Moreover, one has the relations for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$

$$Ha_i^{[j]} = (M_{i-1}^{[j+1]})^p \dots (M_{i-1}^{[e]})^p \cdot Hasse_i \cdot M_i^{[2]} \dots M_i^{[j]}$$

Proof. This is exactly the construction done in [R-X]. \square

2 Partial degrees

2.1 Definitions

We are still considering a p -divisible G endowed with an action of O_F satisfying the hypothesis 0.1. Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer, and let H be a finite flat subgroup of $G[p^N]$ stable by O_F . Its height is thus a multiple of f , that we note fh_0 . Let ω_H be the conormal sheaf of H along its unit section ; it is a finitely generated O_K -module of p^N -torsion. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{G/H} \rightarrow \omega_G \rightarrow \omega_H \rightarrow 0$$

The degree of H (defined in [Fa]), noted $\deg H$ can be defined as the valuation of the determinant of the map $\omega_{G/H} \rightarrow \omega_G$. Alternatively, we have $\deg H = v(\text{Fitt}_0 \omega_H)$, where Fitt_0 is the Fitting ideal, and the valuation of an ideal xO_K is the valuation of x .

The definition of the partial degrees according to the elements of \mathcal{T} is very natural. It has already been done in [Bi]. We have a decomposition $\omega_H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^f \omega_{H,i}$, where $O_{F^{ur}}$ acts on $\omega_{H,i}$ by τ_i , and exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{G/H,i} \rightarrow \omega_{G,i} \rightarrow \omega_{H,i} \rightarrow 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$.

Definition 2.1. The *unramified partial degree* $\deg_i H$ is defined as the valuation of the determinant of the map $\omega_{G/H,i} \rightarrow \omega_{G,i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. Alternatively, we have $\deg_i H = v(\text{Fitt}_0 \omega_{H,i})$.

Example 2.2. We have $\deg_i G[p^N] = Ned$ and $\deg_i G[\pi^N] = Nd$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. If H is multiplicative, then $\deg_i H = h_0$ for all i ; if H is étale, then $\deg_i H = 0$ for all i .

The unramified partial degree of H^D can be defined either by using the module $\omega_{H^D,i}$ or the map $\omega_{G^D,i} \rightarrow \omega_{(G/H)^D,i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. The unramified partial degrees are thus canonically defined, and depend only on the subgroup H and not on the p -divisible group G . This will not be the case for the general partial degrees.

We will now refine the unramified partial degrees, to take into account the full action of O_F . These general partial degrees have already been defined by Sasaki for the Hilbert modular variety ([Sa]), although very few properties were known. We recall that we have filtrations $(\omega_{G,i}^{[j]})_{1 \leq j \leq e}$ and $(\omega_{G/H,i}^{[j]})_{1 \leq j \leq e}$. The map $\omega_{G/H,i} \rightarrow \omega_{G,i}$ respect this filtration ; we thus get maps

$$\omega_{G/H,i}^{[j]} \rightarrow \omega_{G,i}^{[j]}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$.

Definition 2.3. The *partial degree* $\deg_i^{[j]} H$ is defined as the valuation of the determinant of the map

$$\omega_{G/H,i}^{[j]} / \omega_{G/H,i}^{[j-1]} \rightarrow \omega_{G,i}^{[j]} / \omega_{G,i}^{[j-1]}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$.

Example 2.4. We have $\deg_i^{[j]} G[\pi^N] = Nd/e$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$.

Define $\omega_{H,i}^{[j]}$ as the image of $\omega_{G,i}^{[j]}$ in ω_H for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$. Then we also have $\deg_i^{[j]} H = v(\text{Fitt}_0(\omega_{H,i}^{[j]}/\omega_{H,i}^{[j-1]}))$ for $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$. We define the element $\deg_i^{[j]} H^D$ as the valuation of the determinant of the map

$$\omega_{G^D,i}^{[j]}/\omega_{G^D,i}^{[j-1]} \rightarrow \omega_{(G/H)^D,i}^{[j]}/\omega_{(G/H)^D,i}^{[j-1]}$$

Remark 2.5. One can define the partial degrees of a finite flat O_F -stable subgroup $H \subset G[p^N]$ even if the p -divisible group G does not satisfy the hypothesis 0.1.

2.2 Properties

The unramified partial degrees enjoy the following properties.

Proposition 2.6. *Let H be a O_F -stable finite flat subgroup of $G[p^N]$ of height fh_0 .*

- *We have $\deg H = \sum_{i=1}^h \deg_i H$.*
- *The unramified partial degrees are additive : if $H_1 \subset H_2$ are two finite flat O_F -stable subgroups of $G[p^N]$, then*

$$\deg_i H_2 = \deg_i H_1 + \deg_i H_2/H_1$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$.

- *We have $\deg_i H^D = h_0 - \deg_i H$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$.*
- *The unramified partial degree $\deg_i H$ is in $[0, h_0]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$.*

Proof. The first relation comes from the decomposition $\omega_H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^f \omega_{H,i}$. The second relation is implied by the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{H_2/H_1,i} \rightarrow \omega_{H_2,i} \rightarrow \omega_{H_1,i} \rightarrow 0$$

for $1 \leq i \leq f$. For the third equation, one reduces to the case where H is p -torsion by additivity. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_H \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H \rightarrow \omega_{H^D}^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

where \mathcal{E}_H is the Dieudonné crystal associated to H evaluated at O_K/p ([BBM] section 3.1). It is a free O_K/p -module of rank fh_0 . It also has an action of O_F , and decomposes in $\mathcal{E}_H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^h \mathcal{E}_{H,i}$. Each $\mathcal{E}_{H,i}$ is a free O_K/p -module of rank h_0 . The third relation is then implied by the exact sequences coming from the Hodge filtration

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{H,i} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{H,i} \rightarrow \omega_{H^D,i}^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

for all i between 1 and f . From this relation one can easily deduce the last assertion. \square

The properties verified by the general partial degrees are similar, but the proofs of these properties are more difficult.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $H \subset G[p^N]$ be a finite flat O_F -stable subgroup, and let i be an integer between 1 and f .*

- *We have $\sum_{j=1}^e \deg_i^{[j]} H = \deg_i H$.*

- The partial degrees are additive : if $H_1 \subset H_2$ are two finite flat O_F -stable subgroups of $G[p^N]$, then

$$\deg_i^{[j]} H_2 = \deg_i^{[j]} H_1 + \deg_i^{[j]} H_2/H_1$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq e$.

- We have $\deg_i^{[j]} H^D = h_0/e - \deg_i^{[j]} H$ for all $1 \leq j \leq e$.
- The partial degree $\deg_i^{[j]} H$ is in $[0, h_0/e]$ for all $1 \leq j \leq e$.

Proof. For the first assertion, one has just to observe that the determinant of the map $\omega_{G/H,i} \rightarrow \omega_{G,i}$ is the product of the determinant on the graded pieces $\omega_{G/H,i}^{[j]}/\omega_{G/H,i}^{[j-1]} \rightarrow \omega_{G,i}^{[j]}/\omega_{G,i}^{[j-1]}$. The second relation is obtained by remarking that the map $\omega_{G/H_2,i} \rightarrow \omega_{G,i}$ factorizes as

$$\omega_{G/H_2,i} \rightarrow \omega_{G/H_1,i} \rightarrow \omega_{G,i}$$

and that this factorization respects the filtrations on the three modules.

Let us now prove the third relation. Note that it implies the last assertion. By additivity, one reduces to the case where H is a subgroup of $G[\pi]$. Let \mathcal{E} be the free $O_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_F$ -module constructed in the section 1.2. We keep the notations from that section. Let us fix an integer i between 1 and f . The module \mathcal{E}_i is a free $O_K[X]/\prod_{k=1}^e (X - \sigma_{i,k}(\pi))$ -module, with X acting by $1 \otimes \pi$. To simplify the notations, let us write $\pi_k := \sigma_{i,k}(\pi)$ for all $1 \leq k \leq e$. Recall that we have exact sequences coming from the Hodge filtration

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{G,i} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \omega_{G^D,i}^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

Moreover we have the following filtration on \mathcal{E}_i :

$$0 \subset \omega_{G,i}^{[1]} \subset \dots \subset \omega_{G,i}^{[e]} = \mathcal{F}_i^{[e]} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_i^{[0]} = \mathcal{E}_i$$

with $\mathcal{F}_i^{[j]}/\omega_{G,i} = (\omega_{G^D,i}^{[j]}/\omega_{G^D,i}^{[j-1]})^\vee$ for all $1 \leq j \leq e$. Let $\mathcal{E}_{G/H,i}$ be the module constructed for G/H ; it is a free $O_K[X]/\prod_{k=1}^e (X - \pi_k)$ contained in \mathcal{E}_i and containing $X\mathcal{E}_i$. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}_i/X\mathcal{E}_i \simeq (O_K/p)^h$, and the quotient $\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{G/H,i}$ is free over O_K/p of rank h_0 . The module $\mathcal{E}_{G/H,i}/X\mathcal{E}_i$ is thus a direct factor of $\mathcal{E}_i/X\mathcal{E}_i$.

Let $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_h$ be a basis of \mathcal{E}_i over $O_K[X]/\prod_{k=1}^e (X - \pi_k)$, such that $\omega_{G,i}^{[1]}$ is generated by

$$\prod_{k=2}^e (X - \pi_k)\varepsilon_1, \dots, \prod_{k=2}^e (X - \pi_k)\varepsilon_d$$

Thus, $\mathcal{F}_i^{[1]}$ is generated by $(X - \pi_1)\mathcal{E}_i$ and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_d$. Let $\overline{\omega_{G,i}^{[1]}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{F}_i^{[1]}}$ be respectively the images of $\omega_{G,i}^{[1]}$ and $\mathcal{F}_i^{[1]}$ in $\mathcal{E}_i/X\mathcal{E}_i$. We then have

- the module $\overline{\omega_{G,i}^{[1]}}$ is generated by $(\prod_{k=2}^e \pi_k)\varepsilon_1, \dots, (\prod_{k=2}^e \pi_k)\varepsilon_d$
- the module $\overline{\mathcal{F}_i^{[1]}}$ is generated by $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_d, \pi_1\varepsilon_{d+1}, \dots, \pi_1\varepsilon_h$.

The quotient $\overline{\mathcal{F}_i^{[1]}}/\overline{\omega_{G,i}^{[1]}}$ is thus a free $O_K/\prod_{k=2}^e \pi_k$ -module of rank h .

Let $\mathcal{E}_{H,i}$ be the quotient $\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{G/H,i}$; it is a free O_K/p -module of rank h_0 . The image of $\omega_{G,i}^{[1]}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{H,i}$ is equal to $\omega_{H,i}^{[1]}$, and if $\mathcal{F}_{H,i}^{[1]}$ is the image of $\mathcal{F}_i^{[1]}$, then $\mathcal{E}_{H,i}/\mathcal{F}_{H,i}^{[1]}$ is isomorphic to $(\omega_{H^D,i}^{[1]})^\vee$. To sum up, one has a filtration

$$0 \subset \omega_{H,i}^{[1]} \subset \mathcal{F}_{H,i}^{[1]} \subset \mathcal{E}_{H,i}$$

From the calculations made above, one sees that $\mathcal{F}_{H,i}^{[1]}/\omega_{H,i}^{[1]}$ is a free $O_K/\prod_{k=2}^e \pi_k$ module of rank h_0 . This implies the relation

$$\deg_i^{[1]} H + h_0(1 - \frac{1}{e}) + \deg_i^{[1]} H^D = h_0$$

This gives the relation for the first partial degree. Considering the module $\mathcal{F}_i^{[1]}/\omega_{G,i}^{[1]}$, which is free over $O_K[X]/\prod_{k=2}^e (X - \pi_k)$, one gets all the other relations by induction. \square

The elements $(\deg_i^{[j]} H)_{1 \leq j \leq e}$ depend on a choice of an ordering for the set Σ_i . However, we have the following property.

Proposition 2.8. *Let H be a finite flat O_F -stable subgroup of $G[p^N]$, and let i be an integer between 1 and f . Suppose that $\min(\deg_i H, \deg_i H^D) + r_i(G) < 1/e$. Then the elements $(\deg_i^{[j]} H)_{1 \leq j \leq e}$ do not depend on any choice.*

Proof. Suppose that $\deg_i H + r_i(G) < 1/e$. The element $\deg_i^{[j]} H$ is the valuation of the determinant of the map

$$\omega_{G/H,i}^{[j]}/\omega_{G/H,i}^{[j-1]} \rightarrow \omega_{G,i}^{[j]}/\omega_{G,i}^{[j-1]}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq e$. But since $\deg_i^{[j]} H < 1/e - r_i(G)$, it is also the determinant of the map

$$\omega_{G/H,i,\{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j]}/\omega_{G/H,i,\{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j-1]} \rightarrow \omega_{G,i,\{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j]}/\omega_{G,i,\{1/e-r_i(G)\}}^{[j-1]}$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq e$. In other terms, one can work with the module $\omega_{G,i,\{1/e-r_i(G)\}}$ instead of $\omega_{G,i}$. Since the filtration on that module does not depend on any choice by the proposition 1.4, the result follows.

Suppose now that $\deg_i H^D + r_i(G) < 1/e$. Since $r_i(G) = r_i(G^D)$, the previous argument shows that the elements $\deg_i^{[j]} H^D$ are well defined for all j between 1 and e . The formula $\deg_i^{[j]} H = h_0/e - \deg_i^{[j]} H^D$ implies that the elements $\deg_i^{[j]} H$ are well defined too for all $1 \leq j \leq e$. \square

2.3 Partial degrees in family

Let \mathfrak{X} be an admissible formal O_K -scheme ([Bo] section 2.4). In this section only, G will denote a p -divisible group over \mathfrak{X} of height efh , with an action of O_F . Suppose also that there is a finite flat subgroup $H \subset G[p^N]$ over \mathfrak{X} for some integer N . We will denote the p -divisible group G/H by G' , and $p : G \rightarrow G'$ the isogeny. Let $\omega_{G/\mathfrak{X}}$ and $\omega_{G'/\mathfrak{X}}$ denote the conormal sheaves of G and G' along their unit sections. They are locally free sheaves over \mathfrak{X} , and thus decompose according to the elements of \mathcal{T}

$$\omega_{G/\mathfrak{X}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^f \omega_{G/\mathfrak{X},i}$$

and similarly for $\omega_{G'/\mathfrak{X}}$. We thus have a map $p^* : \omega_{G'/\mathfrak{X},i} \rightarrow \omega_{G/\mathfrak{X},i}$. We will also make the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis 2.9. For each p -divisible G_0 equal to G or G' , and for each integer $1 \leq i \leq f$, there exists a filtration

$$0 = \omega_{G_0/\mathfrak{X},i}^{[0]} \subset \omega_{G_0/\mathfrak{X},i}^{[1]} \subset \cdots \subset \omega_{G_0/\mathfrak{X},i}^{[e]} = \omega_{G_0/\mathfrak{X},i}$$

such that $\omega_{G_0/\mathfrak{X},i}^{[j]}/\omega_{G/\mathfrak{X},i}^{[j-1]}$ is a locally free sheaf of rank d , and O_F acts by $\sigma_{i,j}$ on it for all $1 \leq j \leq e$. Moreover, the map p^* respects these filtrations.

We will define

$$\mathcal{L}_{G,i}^{[j]} := \det(\omega_{G/\mathfrak{X},i}^{[j]}/\omega_{G/\mathfrak{X},i}^{[j-1]})$$

and similarly for $\mathcal{L}_{G',i}^{[j]}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$. They are invertible sheaves over \mathfrak{X} . The map p^* give sections

$$\delta_{H,i}^{[j]} \in H^0\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{L}_{G,i}^{[j]} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{G',i}^{[j]}{}^{-1}\right)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$. Let X^{rig} be the generic fiber of \mathfrak{X} in the sense of Raynaud ([Bo] section 2.7). We will still denote by $\mathcal{L}_{G,i}^{[j]}$ the invertible sheaves on X^{rig} , and by $\delta_{H,i}^{[j]}$ the sections induced on X^{rig} . Moreover, we have a norm map ([Kas] section 2)

$$\begin{aligned} X^{rig} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x &\rightarrow |\delta_{H,i}^{[j]}(x)| \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.10. Let $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$. The partial degree $\deg_i^{[j]} H$ is a function $X^{rig} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$|\delta_{H,i}^{[j]}(x)| = p^{-\deg_i^{[j]} H(x)}$$

for all $x \in X^{rig}$.

3 The canonical subgroup

3.1 An alternative approach

We recall the main theorem of Fargues ([Fa2]) regarding the construction of the canonical subgroup.

Theorem 3.1 (Fargues). *Suppose $p \neq 2$, and let G_0 be a p -divisible group of height h_0 and dimension d_0 over O_K . We suppose that $ha(G_0) < 1/2$, and that $ha(G_0) < 1/3$ if $p = 3$. Then there exists a canonical subgroup $C_0 \subset G_0[p]$, such that :*

- C_0 has height d_0 .
- $\deg C_0^D = ha(G_0)$.
- C_0 is the kernel of the Frobenius in $G \times_{O_K} O_{K,\{1-ha(G_0)\}}$.
- if $ha(G_0) < 1/(p+1)$ then we have $ha(G_0/C_0) = p \cdot ha(G_0)$.

Moreover the construction of the canonical subgroup is compatible with the duality.

This theorem says that if a p -divisible group is close to being ordinary, then one can construct a subgroup of large degree in its p -torsion, and that this construction is canonical. Another possible approach is to assume the existence of a subgroup of large degree, and then to prove that it is canonical and verifies some other properties. More precisely, one can prove the following theorem by simple arguments.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G_0 be a p -divisible group of height h_0 and dimension d_0 over O_K . Suppose that there exists a finite flat subgroup $C_0 \subset G_0[p]$ of height d_0 such that $\deg C_0^D < 1/2$. Then C_0 is the unique finite flat subgroup of $G_0[p]$ satisfying these properties. Moreover, we have the relation $\deg C_0^D = ha(G_0)$, and C_0 is the kernel of the Frobenius in $G \times_{O_K} O_{K,\{1-ha(G_0)\}}$.*

Proof. Let H be a finite flat subgroup of $G[p]$ of height d_0 and let h be the height of $H \cap C_0$. Suppose that $h \leq d_0 - 1$, and that $\deg H > d_0 - 1/2$. Then we have by the properties of the degree function ([Fa])

$$\deg H + \deg C_0 \leq \deg(H + C_0) + \deg(H \cap C_0) \leq \deg G[p] + h \leq 2d_0 - 1$$

and we get a contradiction since both H and C_0 have a degree strictly larger than $d_0 - 1/2$. Let $w = \deg C_0^D = \deg G_0[p]/C_0$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{G_0[p]/C_0} \rightarrow \omega_{G_0, \{1\}} \rightarrow \omega_{C_0} \rightarrow 0$$

We thus have an isomorphism $\omega_{G_0, \{1-w\}} \simeq \omega_{C_0, \{1-w\}}$. The Verschiebung map $V : \omega_{G_0, \{1-w\}} \rightarrow \omega_{G_0, \{1-w\}}^{(p)}$ has a determinant of valuation $ha(G)$ by definition. On the other side, one can filter the subgroup C_0 by finite flat subgroups

$$0 = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \dots \subset H_{d_0} = C_0$$

such that H_i/H_{i-1} has height 1 for all $1 \leq i \leq d_0$. We thus get a filtration

$$0 \subset \omega_{C_0/H_{d_0-1}} \subset \dots \subset \omega_{C_0/H_1} \subset \omega_{C_0}$$

Let $\omega_{C_0/H_i, \{1-w\}}$ denote the image of ω_{C_0/H_i} in $\omega_{C_0, \{1-w\}}$. We have exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{C_0/H_i, \{1-w\}} \rightarrow \omega_{C_0, \{1-w\}} \rightarrow \omega_{H_i} \otimes_{O_K} O_{K, \{1-w\}} \rightarrow 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq d_0 - 1$. Since $\omega_{C_0, \{1-w\}}$ and $\omega_{H_i} \otimes_{O_K} O_{K, \{1-w\}}$ are free modules over $O_{K, \{1-w\}}$ of rank respectively d_0 and i , we deduce that $\omega_{C_0/H_i, \{1-w\}}$ is a free $O_{K, \{1-w\}}$ -module of rank $d_0 - i$. We have thus filtered the module $\omega_{C_0, \{1-w\}}$ by free $O_{K, \{1-w\}}$ subspaces, and the graded pieces are isomorphic to $\omega_{H_i/H_{i-1}} \otimes_{O_K} O_{K, \{1-w\}}$. The groups H_i/H_{i-1} satisfy the conditions of those studied by Oort-Tate ([T-O]). In particular, the Verschiebung map for this group is the multiplication by an element whose valuation is the degree of the dual of the group. Putting everything together, one gets

$$ha(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_0} \deg(H_i/H_{i-1})^D = \deg C_0^D = w$$

this equality being in $[0, 1 - w]$. Since $w < 1 - w$, we have the relation $ha(G) = \deg C_0^D$. For the last relation, one observes that the morphism

$$\omega_{C_0^D} \otimes_{O_K} O_{K, \{1-w\}} \rightarrow \omega_{G^D, \{1-w\}}$$

is 0 since the degree of C_0^D is strictly less than w . We can then apply the proposition 1 in [Fa2]. \square

Note that there is no assumption on p in the previous theorem. The canonical subgroup constructed by Fargues is thus uniquely determined by the fact that it has height the dimension of the p -divisible group, and that its dual has degree strictly less than $1/2$ (or equivalently that its degree is strictly larger than its height minus $1/2$). This leads to the following definition.

Definition 3.3. Let G_0 be a p -divisible group of height h_0 and dimension d_0 over O_K . Let C_0 be a finite flat subgroup of $G_0[p]$. We say that C_0 is the canonical subgroup of G_0 if the height of C_0 is d_0 and if $\deg C_0^D < 1/2$.

The theorem 3.1 then gives a criterion for the existence of a canonical subgroup. Note that the theorem 3.2 says that the existence of a canonical subgroup implies the relation $ha(G) < 1/2$.

3.2 The partial degrees of the canonical subgroup

We have seen that one can relate the degree of the canonical subgroup and the Hasse invariant. When one considers a p -divisible group G with an action of O_F , much more can be said. We keep the notations from the previous sections. We have the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a p -divisible group over O_K with an action of O_F satisfying the hypothesis 0.1. Suppose that there exists a canonical subgroup $C \subset G[p]$ (in the sense of the definition 3.3).*

1. *Suppose that $ha(G) < \min(1/e, 1/2)$; then we have*

$$\deg_i C^D = ha_i(G) \text{ and } \deg_i C[\pi]^D = ha_i^{[e]}(G)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. This implies $\deg C[\pi]^D = ha^{[e]}(G)$.

2. *Under the same hypotheses, we have*

$$\deg_i^{[1]} C[\pi]^D = hasse_i(G) \text{ and } \deg_i^{[j]} C[\pi]^D = m_i^{[j]}(G)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $2 \leq j \leq e$.

3. *If $e = 1$ we suppose that $ha(G) < 1/(p+1)$; if $e \geq 2$, we suppose that $ha(G) < 1/(pe)$ as well as the existence of a canonical subgroup for G/C . Then $ha_i(G/C) = p \cdot ha_{i-1}(G)$ for $1 \leq i \leq f$. We also have*

$$ha_i^{[1]}(G/C[\pi]) = p \cdot ha_{i-1}^{[e]}(G) \text{ and } ha_i^{[j]}(G/C[\pi]) = ha_i^{[j-1]}(G)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $2 \leq j \leq e$. The Hasse invariant of $G/C[\pi]$ is then equal to $ha(G) + (p-1)ha^{[e]}(G)$. Moreover, if $e \geq 2$

$$hasse_i(G/C[\pi]) = p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[e]}(G), \quad m_i^{[2]}(G/C[\pi]) = hasse_i(G) \text{ and } m_i^{[j]}(G/C[\pi]) = m_i^{[j-1]}(G)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $3 \leq j \leq e$.

From the result of Fargues ([Fa2]), the existence of the canonical subgroup for G is guaranteed by the conditions $p > 3$ and $ha(G) < 1/2$, or $p = 3$ and $ha(G) < 1/3$. The existence of the canonical subgroup for G/C is guaranteed by the conditions $p > 3$ and $ha(G) < 1/(2p)$, or $p = 3$ and $ha(G) < 1/(3p)$.

One can then not only relate the degree of C to the Hasse invariant, but also the degree and partial degrees of $C[\pi]$ to the partial Hasse invariants. One can also compute the partial Hasse invariants of the p -divisible group $G/C[\pi]$. Actually, one can get information on $C[\pi^k]$. Indeed, we have the following propositions.

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a p -divisible group over O_K with an action of O_F satisfying the hypothesis 0.1, and suppose that there exists a canonical subgroup $C \subset G[p]$. Suppose that $e \geq 2$ and let $1 \leq k \leq e$ be an integer. Suppose that $ha(G) < 1/e$; then we have*

$$\deg_i(C[\pi^k]/C[\pi^{k-1}])^D = ha_i^{[e+1-k]}(G)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. Thus $\deg(C[\pi^k]/C[\pi^{k-1}])^D = ha^{[e+1-k]}(G)$. Suppose that $ha(G) < 1/(pe)$, and that there exists a canonical subgroup for G/C . Then for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ we have

- $\deg_i^{[j]}(C[\pi^k]/C[\pi^{k-1}])^D = p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[e+1-k+j]}(G)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$.
- $\deg_i^{[k]}(C[\pi^k]/C[\pi^{k-1}])^D = \text{hasse}_i(G)$.
- $\deg_i^{[j]}(C[\pi^k]/C[\pi^{k-1}])^D = m_i^{[j-k+1]}(G)$ for $k+1 \leq j \leq e$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let G be a p -divisible group over O_K with an action of O_F satisfying the hypothesis 0.1, and suppose that there exists a canonical subgroup $C \subset G[p]$. Suppose $e \geq 2$, $ha(G) < 1/(pe)$ and that there exists a canonical subgroup for G/C . Then we have*

$$(ha_i^{[j]}(G/C[\pi^k]))_{1 \leq j \leq e} = (p \cdot ha_{i-1}^{[e-k+1]}(G), \dots, p \cdot ha_{i-1}^{[e]}(G), ha_i^{[1]}(G), \dots, ha_i^{[e-k]}(G))$$

for $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq k \leq e$. These relations are equivalent to

$$(\text{hasse}_i(G/C[\pi^k]), m_i^{[2]}(G/C[\pi^k]), \dots, m_i^{[e]}(G/C[\pi^k])) = (p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[e-k+1]}(G), \dots, p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[e]}(G), \text{hasse}_i(G), m_i^{[2]}(G), \dots, m_i^{[e-k]}(G))$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq k \leq e-1$. For $k = e$ we get $\text{hasse}_i(G/C) = p \cdot \text{hasse}_{i-1}(G)$ and $m_i^{[j]}(G/C) = p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[j]}(G)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $2 \leq j \leq e$.

We could have presented these two results as corollaries of the theorem, but to get sharper bounds on the Hasse invariant, we will prove these three results together. This will be done in the next section. We sum up all the information in the following two tables.

	$C[\pi]^D$	$(C[\pi^2]/C[\pi])^D$...	$(C/C[\pi^{e-1}])^D$	C^D
$\deg_i^{[1]}$	$\text{hasse}_i(G)$	$p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[e]}(G)$...	$p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[2]}(G)$	$ha_i^{[1]}(G)$
$\deg_i^{[2]}$	$m_i^{[2]}(G)$	$\text{hasse}_i(G)$...	$p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[3]}(G)$	$ha_i^{[2]}(G)$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots	\vdots
$\deg_i^{[e]}$	$m_i^{[e]}(G)$	$m_i^{[e-1]}(G)$...	$\text{hasse}_i(G)$	$ha_i^{[e]}(G)$
\deg_i	$ha_i^{[e]}(G)$	$ha_i^{[e-1]}(G)$...	$ha_i^{[1]}(G)$	$ha_i(G)$
\deg	$ha^{[e]}(G)$	$ha^{[e-1]}(G)$...	$ha^{[1]}(G)$	$ha(G)$

Table 3.1: The partial degrees of the graded parts of the canonical subgroup

	$G/C[\pi]$	$G/C[\pi^2]$...	G/C
hasse_i	$p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[e]}(G)$	$p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[e-1]}(G)$...	$p \cdot \text{hasse}_{i-1}(G)$
$m_i^{[2]}$	$\text{hasse}_i(G)$	$p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[e]}(G)$...	$p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[2]}(G)$
$m_i^{[3]}$	$m_i^{[2]}(G)$	$\text{hasse}_i(G)$...	$p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[3]}(G)$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots	\vdots
$m_i^{[e]}$	$m_i^{[e-1]}(G)$	$m_i^{[e-2]}(G)$...	$p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[e]}(G)$

Table 3.2: The primitive Hasse invariants of the p -divisible groups $G/C[\pi^k]$

These two tables are valid if G is a p -divisible group as in the theorem 3.4, C is the canonical subgroup, $ha(G) < \min(1/(pe), 1/(p+1))$ and if there exists a canonical subgroup for G/C .

Remark 3.7. It is not difficult to see that if G does not satisfy the hypothesis 0.1, then $ha(G) = 1$ and there can't be a canonical subgroup for $G[p]$. We could thus have removed this assumption in the theorem and in the propositions.

Remark 3.8. The condition $ha(G) < 1/e$ imply that all the partial and primitive Hasse invariants of G are well defined. If $ha(G) < 1/e$ and C is the canonical subgroup of $G[p]$, then we have

$$\deg_i C[\pi]^D + r_i(G) \leq ha_i^{[e]}(G) + \sum_{j=1}^{e-1} ha_{i+1}^{[j]} \leq ha(G) < 1/e$$

Thus the partial degrees of $C[\pi]^D$ are well defined too thanks to the proposition 2.8.

Remark 3.9. If G satisfies the Rapoport condition, then we have $m_i^{[j]}(G) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $2 \leq j \leq e$. Then we have

$$\deg_i(C[\pi^k]/C[\pi^{k-1}])^D = hasse_i(G)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq k \leq e$. Moreover, the element $\deg_i^{[j]}(C[\pi^k]/C[\pi^{k-1}])^D$ is equal to $hasse_i(G)$ if $j = k$ and 0 otherwise. Let k be an integer between 1 and $e - 1$. The elements $(hasse_i(G/C[\pi^k]), m_i^{[j]}(G/C[\pi^k]))$ are all 0 except the relation $m_i^{[k+1]}(G/C[\pi^k]) = hasse_i(G)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. Note that if G is not ordinary, then $G/C[\pi^k]$ does not satisfies the Rapoport condition for $1 \leq k \leq e - 1$. This is coherent with the fact that the U_π operator on the Hilbert modular variety does not stabilize the Rapoport locus (see [A-Go]).

Remark 3.10. Suppose that $e \geq 2$, that the Hasse invariant of G is small enough, and that there exists a canonical subgroup $C \subset G[p]$. Consider $G' = G/C[\pi]$, it has a subgroup $H'_0 = G[\pi]/C[\pi]$. This subgroup has partial degrees

$$(\deg_i^{[j]} H'_0)_{1 \leq j \leq e} = (hasse_i(G), m_i^{[2]}(G), \dots, m_i^{[e]}(G))$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. In $G'' = G/C[\pi^2]$, the image of H'_0 is $H''_0 = G'[\pi]/(C[\pi^2]/C[\pi])$. It has partial degrees

$$(\deg_i^{[j]} H''_0)_{1 \leq j \leq e} = (p \cdot m_{i-1}^{[e]}(G), hasse_i(G), m_i^{[2]}(G), \dots, m_i^{[e-1]}(G))$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. This shows that the U_π operator on the Hilbert modular variety does not increase in general any of the partial degrees.

3.3 Proof of the theorem

Before proving the theorem, we recall the structure theorem of Raynaud ([Ra]) concerning finite flat group schemes of height f over O_K , of p -torsion and with an action of $O_{F^{ur}}$.

Proposition 3.11. *Let H be a finite flat group scheme of height f over O_K , of p -torsion and with an action of $O_{F^{ur}}$. Then there exists elements $(a_i, b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq f}$ of O_K such that $a_i b_i = pu$ (where u is a fixed p -adic unit), with H isomorphic to the spectrum of*

$$O_K[X_1, \dots, X_f]/(X_i^p - a_{i+1} X_{i+1})$$

where we identify X_{f+1} and X_1 . The dual of the group with parameters (a_i, b_i) is the one with parameter (b_i, a_i) . Moreover, we have $\omega_{H,i} = O_K/a_i$, and therefore $\deg_i H = v(a_i)$, $\deg_i H^D = v(b_i)$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. The Verschiebung map $\omega_{H,i} \rightarrow \omega_{H^{(p)},i-1}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} O_K/a_i &\rightarrow O_K/(p, a_{i-1}^p) \\ 1 &\rightarrow b_i \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$.

We will refer to such group schemes as Raynaud group schemes.

Remark 3.12. Since the group is defined over O_K , the condition $(\star\star)$ of [Ra] is automatically satisfied.

We now turn to the proof of the theorem 3.4 and of the propositions 3.5 and 3.6. Let G and C be as in the theorem. Let us note $w = ha(G)$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{G[p]/C} \rightarrow \omega_{G,\{1\}} \rightarrow \omega_C \rightarrow 0$$

But the degree of $G[p]/C$ is w , thus we have an isomorphism

$$\omega_{G,\{1-w\}} \simeq \omega_{C,\{1-w\}}$$

It gives isomorphisms $\omega_{G,i,\{1-w\}} \simeq \omega_{C,i,\{1-w\}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. The space $C(O_K)$ is a \mathbb{F}_q -vector space, with a map $[\pi]$ such that $[\pi]^e = 0$. Let us give a filtration on this vector space ; it gives a filtration $H_1 \subset \cdots \subset H_{de} = C$, such that each H_{k+1}/H_k is Raynaud group scheme. Moreover, one can do it in a way that $H_{kd} = C[\pi^k]$ for all $1 \leq k \leq e$. This gives a filtration

$$0 \subset \omega_{C/H_{de-1}} \subset \cdots \subset \omega_{C/H_1} \subset \omega_C$$

Let $\omega_{C/H_k,i,\{1-w\}}$ be the image of ω_{C/H_k} in $\omega_{C,i,\{1-w\}}$. We have thus filtered the free $O_{K,\{1-w\}}$ -module of rank de $\omega_{C,i,\{1-w\}}$ by de submodules, such that each of the graded pieces is monogenous (since H_{k+1}/H_k is a Raynaud group scheme, the quotient $\omega_{C/H_k,i}/\omega_{C/H_{k+1},i} \simeq \omega_{H_{k+1}/H_k,i}$ is monogenous). This forces each module $\omega_{C/H_k,i,\{1-w\}}$ to be free of rank $de - k$ over $O_{K,\{1-w\}}$. We have filtered $\omega_{C,i,\{1-w\}}$ by free $O_{K,\{1-w\}}$ submodule, and the Verschiebung acts on the graded pieces by the multiplication by an element of valuation $\deg_i(H_k/H_{k-1})^D$.

We will first compute the unramified partial degrees of C . When one takes the valuation of the determinant of the Verschiebung acting on $\omega_{C,i,\{1-w\}}$, one gets the following equality in $[0, 1 - w]$

$$ha_i(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{de} \deg_i(H_k/H_{k-1})^D = \deg_i C^D$$

for $1 \leq i \leq f$. Since $ha_i(G) \leq w < 1 - w$, this relation is simply an equality. This settles the first assertion of the theorem 3.4 in the case $e = 1$.

We now assume $e \geq 2$. The filtration

$$0 \subset \omega_{C/C[\pi^{e-1}],i,\{1/e\}} \subset \cdots \subset \omega_{C/C[\pi],i,\{1/e\}} \subset \omega_{C,i,\{1/e\}}$$

is thus an adequate filtration of $\omega_{G,i,\{1/e\}} \simeq \omega_{C,i,\{1/e\}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$. Moreover, from the result on Raynaud group schemes, the determinant of the map

$$V : \omega_{C/C[\pi^{k-1}],i,\{1/e\}} / \omega_{C/C[\pi^k],i,\{1/e\}} \rightarrow (\omega_{C/C[\pi^{k-1}],i-1,\{1/e\}} / \omega_{C/C[\pi^k],i-1,\{1/e\}})^{(p)}$$

has a determinant with valuation equal to $\deg_i(C[\pi^k]/C[\pi^{k-1}])^D$ for $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq k \leq e$. From the propositions 1.9 and 1.10, one gets

$$ha_i^{[e+1-k]}(G) = \deg_i(C[\pi^k]/C[\pi^{k-1}])^D$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq k \leq e$. This concludes the proof of the first assertion of the theorem 3.4, and the first part of the proposition 3.5.

Now let's turn to the computation of the partial Hasse invariants of the p -divisible groups $G/C[\pi^k]$, for $1 \leq k \leq e$. The p -divisible group $(G/C) \times_{O_K} O_{K, \{1-w\}}$ is isomorphic to $(G \times_{O_K} O_{K, \{1-w\}})^{(p)}$, where the subscript means a twist by the Frobenius. First we suppose that $w < 1/(p+1)$. We have the following equality in $[0, 1-w]$

$$ha_i(G/C) = p \cdot ha_{i-1}(G)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq f$. Since $p \cdot ha_{i-1}(G) \leq pw < 1-w$, this is just an equality. This proves the first assertion of the theorem 3.4 in the case $e = 1$.

Now we suppose that $e \geq 2$ and $w < 1/(pe)$. Since the element $p \cdot w$ is strictly less than $1/e$, we have

$$ha_i^{[j]}(G/C) = p \cdot ha_{i-1}^{[j]}(G)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq j \leq e$. This gives the result for G/C . Now assume the existence of a canonical subgroup for G/C . We will write $C_2 \subset G[p^2]$ the subgroup such that C_2/C is the canonical subgroup of G/C . Since $ha(G/C) < 1/e$, we can apply our previous result to this p -divisible group. We note that $(C_2/C)[\pi^k] = C_2[\pi^{k+e}]/C$, and get

$$\deg_i(C_2[\pi^{e+k}]/C_2[\pi^{e+k-1}])^D = p \cdot ha_{i-1}^{[e+1-k]}(G)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq k \leq e$. Next, we consider the p -divisible group $G/C[\pi^k]$. One easily checks that it has a canonical subgroup equal to $C_2[\pi^{k+e}]/C[\pi^k]$. Applying our previous result to this p -divisible group, one gets

$$ha_i^{[j]}(G/C[\pi^k]) = \deg_i(C_2[\pi^{k+e+1-j}]/C_2[\pi^{k+e-j}])^D$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$, $1 \leq j \leq e$ and $1 \leq k \leq e$. Putting all these relations together, we conclude that

$$(ha_i^{[j]}(G/C[\pi^k]))_{1 \leq j \leq e} = (p \cdot ha_{i-1}^{[e+1-k]}(G), \dots, p \cdot ha_{i-1}^{[e]}(G), ha_i^{[1]}(G), \dots, ha_i^{[e-k]}(G))$$

for $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq k \leq e$. This proves the third assertion of the theorem 3.4 and the proposition 3.6.

We will now compute the partial degrees of $C[\pi]^D$. We will prove that $\deg_i^{[j]} C[\pi]^D = m_i^{[j]}(G)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $2 \leq j \leq e$. Since we have already computed the unramified partial degree $\deg_i C[\pi]^D = ha_i^{[e]}(G)$, this will imply that $\deg_i^{[1]} C[\pi]^D = hasse_i(G)$.

Let us fix an integer i between 1 and f , and look at the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{(G/C[\pi])^D, i}^\vee \rightarrow \omega_{G^D, i}^\vee \rightarrow \omega_{C[\pi]^D, i}^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

Since $\deg_i C[\pi]^D = ha_i^{[e]}(G) < 1/e$, we have an exact sequence

$$\omega_{(G/C[\pi])^D, i, \{1/e\}}^\vee \rightarrow \omega_{G^D, i, \{1/e\}}^\vee \rightarrow \omega_{C[\pi]^D, i}^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

On $\omega_{G^D, i, \{1/e\}}^\vee$, the action of $[\pi]$ is given by a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_i^{[e]} & \dots & * \\ & 0 & \ddots & * \\ & & \ddots & M_i^{[2]} \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where this matrix is written in a basis respecting the filtration $(\omega_{G^D, i, \{1/e\}}/\omega_{G^D, i, \{1/e\}}^{[j]})^\vee$, and the determinant of the matrix $M_i^{[j]}$ has valuation $m_i^{[j]}(G)$ for all $2 \leq j \leq e$. Here, all the blocks are of size $h-d$. By a slight abuse of notation, we will still denote by $\omega_{(G/C[\pi])^D, i, \{1/e\}}^\vee$ the image of this module in $\omega_{G^D, i, \{1/e\}}^\vee$, and will work with this module from now on. This module contains $[\pi] \cdot \omega_{G^D, i, \{1/e\}}^\vee$, and the image of this module in the quotient $\omega_{G^D, i, \{1/e\}}^\vee / [\pi] \cdot \omega_{G^D, i, \{1/e\}}^\vee$ is generated by $h-d$ elements (since the height of $G[\pi]/C[\pi]$ is $f(h-d)$). We will write the matrix of these $h-d$ elements by

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_e \end{pmatrix}$$

Since the module $\omega_{C[\pi]^D, i}^\vee$ contains as a quotient a module isomorphic to $O_{K, \{1/e\}}^{h-d} / Y_e O_{K, \{1/e\}}^{h-d}$, we see that $v(\det Y_e) \leq \deg_i C[\pi]^D = ha_i^{[e]}(G)$. We now claim that the intersection of $\omega_{(G/C[\pi])^D, i, \{1/e\}}^\vee$ with the first step of the filtration $(\omega_{G^D, i, \{1/e\}}/\omega_{G^D, i, \{1/e\}}^{[e-1]})^\vee$ is generated by the image of the matrix $M_i^{[e]}$. Indeed, let us note by V_2, \dots, V_e the (non zero) columns of the matrix of $[\pi]$. An element X in $\omega_{(G/C[\pi])^D, i, \{1/e\}}^\vee$ can then be written as a linear combination

$$X = V_2 \alpha_1 + \dots + V_e \alpha_{e-1} + Y \alpha_e$$

for some $(h-d) \times 1$ columns α_i . If X is in the first step of the filtration, then one sees that $Y_e \alpha_e = 0$ in $O_{K, \{1/e\}}^d$. This implies that the elements of α_e have a valuation greater than $1/e - ha_i^{[e]}(G)$. We then get the relation $M_i^{[2]} \alpha_{e-1} = 0$ in $O_{K, \{1/e - ha_i^{[e]}(G)\}}^d$. The valuations of the elements of α_{e-1} are thus greater than $1/e - ha_i^{[e]}(G) - m_i^{[2]}(G)$. By induction, one sees that the coefficients of $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_e$ have all a valuation greater than $1/e - ha_i^{[e]}(G) - m_i^{[2]}(G) - \dots - m_i^{[e-1]}(G)$. This concludes the claim, with the hypothesis

$$ha_i^{[e]}(G) + \sum_{j=2}^e m_i^{[j]}(G) < 1/e$$

This hypothesis guarantees that $\deg_i^{[e]} C[\pi]^D = m_i^{[e]}(G)$. Reasoning by induction, considering the module $(\omega_{G^D, i, \{1/e\}}^{[e-1]})^\vee$, one gets that under the same hypothesis

$$\deg_i^{[j]} C[\pi]^D = m_i^{[j]}(G)$$

for all $2 \leq j \leq e$. This concludes the second assertion of the theorem 3.4 since the hypothesis is implied by the relation $ha(G) < 1/e$. Indeed, if $e \geq 2$, one has

$$ha_i^{[e]}(G) + \sum_{j=2}^e m_i^{[j]}(G) \leq 2ha_i^{[e]}(G) \leq 2ha^{[e]}(G) \leq ha^{[e]}(G) + ha^{[e-1]}(G) \leq ha(G)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$.

To conclude, it remains to prove the second part of the proposition 3.5, i.e. to compute the partial degrees of $(C[\pi^{k+1}]/C[\pi^k])^D$ for $1 \leq k \leq e-1$ with the assumption that $ha(G) < 1/(pe)$ and the existence of a canonical subgroup for G/C . We want to apply our previous result to the p -divisible group $G/C[\pi^k]$. For this we need the hypothesis

$$ha_i^{[e]}(G/C[\pi^k]) + \sum_{j=2}^e m_i^{[j]}(G/C[\pi^k]) < 1/e$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq k \leq e-1$. But from the computation on the primitive Hasse invariants of $G/C[\pi^k]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ha_i^{[e]}(G/C[\pi^k]) + \sum_{j=2}^e m_i^{[j]}(G/C[\pi^k]) &= ha_i^{[e-k]}(G) + p \sum_{j=e-k+2}^e m_{i-1}^{[j]}(G) + hasse_i(G) + \sum_{j=2}^{e-k} m_i^{[j]}(G) \\ &\leq 2ha_i^{[e-k]}(G) < 2/(pe) \leq 1/e \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq f$ and $1 \leq k \leq e-1$. This concludes the proof of the theorem 3.4 and the propositions 3.5 and 3.6.

Remark 3.13. Incidentally, we have proved that if H is a finite flat subgroup of $G[\pi]$ of height $f(h-d)$ such that

$$\deg_i H + \sum_{j=2}^e m_i^{[j]}(G) < 1/e$$

for some integer i , then $\deg_i^{[j]} H = m_i^{[j+1]}(G)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq e-1$.

References

- [A-M] A. Abbes et A. Mokrane, *Sous-groupes canoniques et cycles évanescents p -adiques pour les variétés abéliennes*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes études Sci. 99 (2004).
- [A-Ga] F. Andreatta and C. Gasbarri, *The canonical subgroup for families of abelian varieties*, Compos. Math. 143 (3) (2007), 566-602.
- [A-Go] F. Andreatta and E. Goren, *Geometry of Hilbert modular varieties over totally ramified primes*, Inter. Math. Res. Notices 33 (2003), 1785-1835.
- [BBM] P. Berthelot, L. Breen and W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline II*, volume 930 of *Lectures Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [Bi] S. Bijakowski, *Formes modulaires surconvergentes, ramification et classicité*, preprint (2015).

- [Bo] S. Bosch, *Lectures on formal and rigid geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2105, Springer, (2014).
- [Co] B. Conrad, *Higher-level canonical subgroups in abelian varieties*, preprint (2005).
- [Fa] L. Fargues, *La filtration de Harder-Narasimhan des schémas en groupes finis et plats*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 645 (2010).
- [Fa2] L. Fargues, *La filtration canonique des points de torsion des groupes p -divisibles*, avec la collaboration de Yichao Tian, Ann. Sci. de l'É.N.S. 44 (2011), 905-961.
- [G-K] E. Goren and P. Kassaei, *Canonical Subgroups Over Hilbert Modular Varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle) 670 (2012), 1-63.
- [Ha] S. Hattori, *Canonical subgroups via Breuil-Kisin modules*, Math. Z. 274 (2013), 933-953.
- [Kas] P. Kassaei, *A gluing lemma and overconvergent modular forms*, Duke Math. J. 132 (2006), 509-529.
- [Kat] N. Katz, *p -adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms* In *Modular functions of one variable III* 69-90, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol 350, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [Lu] J. Lubin, *Canonical subgroups of formal groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 251 (1979), 103-127.
- [P-R] G. Pappas and M. Rapoport, *Local models in the ramified case II. Splitting models*, Duke Math. J. 127 (2) (2005), 193-250.
- [Ra] M. Raynaud, *Schémas en groupes de type (p, p, \dots, p)* , Bull. Soc. Math. de France 102 (1974), 241-280.
- [R-X] D. Reduzzi and L. Xiao, *Partial Hasse invariants on splitting models of Hilbert modular varieties*, preprint (2014).
- [Sa] S. Sasaki, *Integral models of Hilbert modular varieties in the ramified case, deformations of modular Galois representations, and weight one forms*, preprint (2014).
- [Sch] P. Scholze, *On torsion in the cohomology of locally symmetric varieties*, to appear in Annals of Mathematics.
- [T-O] J. Tate and F. Oort, *Group schemes of prime order*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4ème série tome 3 (1970), 1-21.
- [Ti] Y. Tian, *Canonical subgroups of Barsotti-Tate groups*, Ann. of Math. 172 (2) (2010), 955-988.